

To: Johnson, Kathleen[Johnson.Kathleen@epa.gov]
From: Hagler, Tom
Sent: Wed 9/24/2014 4:50:34 PM
Subject: RE: Call with Maria and Laura on Thursday

....
>>>>

Could do it at 11, or after around 2

From: Johnson, Kathleen
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2014 9:33 AM
To: Hagler, Tom
Subject: RE: Call with Maria and Laura on Thursday

Thanks Tom, I appreciate the advice. I think we won't need you for the call tomorrow but thanks for offering. I would however, love to have a few minutes of your time – today or tomorrow – just to go over some delta maps and chat. I think I mentioned, when I'm going to DC in October for an enforcement meeting, I'm going to bring Cynthia up to speed on what has been happening, show her the ghant chart – which reveals how many other processes are happening at the same time. I would like to show her a map and a general idea of where the existing pumps are – where the new pumps will be etc. Shari Wilson is the new political deputy and has responsibility for NEPA. She might be there also and I'm sure has no background on this project at all – although she hails from another important Bay State – Maryland. What is your sched today?

Kathleen H. Johnson

Director, Enforcement Division

U.S. EPA - Region 9

75 Hawthorne Street ENF-1

San Francisco, CA 94015

415/972-3873

johnson.kathleen@epa.gov

From: Hagler, Tom
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2014 9:13 AM
To: Johnson, Kathleen
Subject: Call with Maria and Laura on Thursday

I don't know if you want me on this call Thursday. I'm out of the office, but have some periods when I could potentially plug in by phone.

Let me know.

Also, while I have your attention:

(1) When you discuss the need for more “reality” to the programmatic measures, you frequently use the term “decision tree.” I would avoid that, because there has been a long painful effort to develop something called the BDCP “Decision Tree,” and it is still vague and ill defined. So that is now a loaded and unhappy term. I would instead use the terms “measurable goals and objectives,” “predetermined responses,” or things like that.

(2) I will send you a copy of an email from NRDC to Will and Letty, wherein NRDC notes that the BDCP presentation to the MET Board two days ago assumes the “Low Outflow Alternative” (with much higher exports). This contrasts with Letty saying, last Friday, that NMFS and FWS are only looking at permitting the “High Outflow Alternative.” So the failure to communicate goes on.

Tom Hagler

Assistant Regional Counsel

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Region 9

75 Hawthorne Street, RC-2

San Francisco, California 94105-3901

Phone (415)972-3945

Email: hagler.tom@epa.gov